

sentence imposed in case number CR10F00651-00 was imposed following a guilty plea to illegal distribution of drugs, while the sentence in case number CR11F00351-00 was imposed for unauthorized use of a motor vehicle.

As shown by the record in this case, the court's decision to run concurrently only the sentence in case number CR10F00651-00 was intentional, since it involved conduct that was included within the drug conspiracy for which the federal sentence was imposed. The other sentence was for conduct that was unrelated to the federal offense. The court has the discretion to order a federal sentence to run concurrently or consecutively with respect to a prior undischarged sentence. 18 U.S.C.A. § 3584 (West 2000); *see Setser v. United States*, 132 S. Ct. 1463, 1467 (2012). Accordingly, the court's decision in this case was not a clerical error that can be now addressed.

In any event, while the state sentence was imposed before the federal sentence, the defendant was apparently in primary federal custody, since the state sentences have yet to be served. Thus it would appear it is up to the state court to decide whether its sentence, yet to be served, can be served concurrently with the present federal sentence.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the motion (ECF No. 179) is DENIED.

ENTER: November 6, 2012

/s/ James P. Jones
United States District Judge