



support of any reduction authorized under § 3582(c),<sup>1</sup> I construe his pleading as a § 2255 motion and summarily deny it as successive.<sup>2</sup>

This court may consider a second or successive § 2255 motion only upon specific certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that the claims in the motion meet certain criteria. See § 2255(h). Court records indicate that in May of 1999, Brown filed a § 2255 motion regarding the same conviction and sentence, which the court denied. *Brown v. United States*, Case No. 7:99-CV-346, CRIM.A. 3:94-CR-027, 2000 WL 34537870 (W.D. Va. June 16, 2000), *appeal dismissed*, 51 F. App'x 419 (4th Cir. 2002) (unpublished), *cert. denied*, 539 U.S. 950 (2003). Because Brown offers no indication that he has obtained certification from the court of appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, I must dismiss Brown's current action without prejudice. A separate Final Order will be entered herewith.

DATED: September 5, 2013

/s/ James P. Jones

United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Brown cites § 3582(c)(1)(B) and (c)(2) as authority for the reduction he seeks. Relief under these sections, however, requires that the reduction be “expressly permitted by statute or by Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure” or be based on a retroactive amendment to the sentencing guidelines. *Alleyne* did not recognize any statutory section or guideline change authorizing a sentence reduction under § 3582(c).

<sup>2</sup> Under Rule 4(b) of the Rules Governing § 2255 Proceedings, the court may summarily dismiss a § 2255 motion where “it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings” that the defendant is not entitled to relief.