

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
LYNCHBURG DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

JEFFREY WILLIAMSON,

Petitioner.

CASE NO. 6:13-cr-00024

MEMORANDUM OPINION

JUDGE NORMAN K. MOON

This matter is before me upon consideration of *pro se* Petitioner Jeffrey Williamson's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release (docket no. 5). The United States has not objected to Petitioner's Motion, and for the reasons I discuss below, I will grant it.

I. BACKGROUND

On November 7, 2003, Williamson pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute a measurable quantity of cocaine base, and possession with intent to distribute cocaine hydrochloride, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). On March 10, 2004, Judge Bullock of the Middle District of North Carolina sentenced Williamson to a one hundred fifty-one month term of imprisonment, followed by a five year period of supervised release. After his release from incarceration, Williamson began his term of supervision in this Court on March 27, 2012.

According to Williamson's probation officer, his adjustment to supervision has been positive. He has been on supervision for just over two and half years, and throughout this time, he has remained steadily employed, passed all of his required drug screenings, and met all of the requirements of supervision. In light of the foregoing, Williamson's probation officer recommends granting his motion for early termination of supervised release.

II. DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e):

The Court may, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(2)(D), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7) —

- (1) terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure relating to the modification of probation, if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant and the interest of justice.

Accordingly, for Williamson to obtain early termination of supervised release, I must first find that he has been on supervised release for at least one year. *Id.* I must next consider the sentencing factors enumerated in § 3553(a) and, in light of those factors, determine whether early termination is “warranted by the conduct of the defendant and the interest of justice.” *Id.*

Williamson has been on supervision for nearly three years, clearly satisfying the statute’s timing requirement. He has also maintained stable employment, never tested positive for the use of illicit substances, and complied with all of the requirements of supervision. Under such circumstances, it appears that Williamson has successfully adjusted back into society. Accordingly, after reviewing the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), I am satisfied that Williamson’s conduct during supervision, coupled with the interest of justice, sufficiently warrants the early termination of his supervised release term.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, I will grant Petitioner’s Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release (docket no. 5). An appropriate order follows.

Entered this _____ day of May, 2015.



NORMAN K. MOON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE