

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
HARRISONBURG DIVISION**

---

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**STACEY LANE FISHER,**

*Defendant*

---

Case No. 5:10cr00037

**REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

**By: Hon. James G. Welsh  
U.S. Magistrate Judge**

In accordance with the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3) and upon the defendant's informed and written consent, this case was referred to the undersigned for the purpose of conducting a plea hearing.

The Grand Jury previously returned a multi-count Indictment charging in **Count One** that on or about January 28, 2010 this defendant knowingly and intentionally possessed with intent to distribute fifty (50) grams or more of methamphetamine (actual), a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A); and charging in **Count Two** that on or about the same said date this defendant knowingly possessed ammunition that had been shipped or transported in interstate commerce, after having been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). In addition the Indictment contained a Notice of Forfeiture.

The plea hearing was conducted before the undersigned on March 17, 2011. The defendant was at all times present in person and with his counsel, Thomas Weidner. The United States was represented by Grayson Hoffman, Assistant United States Attorney. The proceedings were recorded by a court reporter. *See* Rule 11(g) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

With the defendant's informed and written consent, the undersigned made a Rule 11 inquiry; the government presented a written proffer of evidence for the purpose of establishing an independent basis in fact for each plea, and the defendant entered pleas of guilty to the felony offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

#### **DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO RULE 11 INQUIRY**

The defendant was placed under oath and addressed personally in open court. He expressly acknowledged that he was obligated to testify truthfully in all respects under penalty of perjury and that he understood the government's right, in a prosecution for perjury or false statement, to use against him any statement that he gives under oath. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(A).

The defendant testified to the following personal facts: his full legal name is STACEY LANE FISHER; he is thirty-seven (37) years of age and attended school through the 10<sup>th</sup> grade in Virginia. He represented that he can read, write and understand English without difficulty. He testified that he has no medical condition, either physical or mental, which might interfere with his ability to understand and participate fully in the court proceeding; he stated that he was using no alcoholic beverage, medication or drugs which might impair his ability to understand and participate fully in the proceeding; he testified that his mind was clear, and he acknowledged that he was in court for the purpose of entering pleas of guilty to two felony offenses which he

could not later withdraw. Upon inquiry, the defendant's attorney represented that he had no reservations about the defendant's competency to enter the proposed pleas of guilty.

The defendant acknowledged that he had received a copy of the Indictment and that he fully understood each of the charges against him. He stated that he had discussed the charges with his attorney and had been given enough time to do so. He stated that he understood the nature of each of the charges against him in the Indictment, and he specifically understood the offenses charged in Counts One and Two were both felony offenses. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(G). He testified that he had discussed any possible defenses with his attorney and that he had been given adequate time to prepare any defenses he might have to these charges. He stated that his decision to enter pleas of guilty to these two felony offenses had been made after consulting with his attorney and that he was fully satisfied with the services of his attorney.

The defendant confirmed that he fully recognized and understood his right to have the Rule 11 hearing conducted by a United States district judge, and he gave his verbal and written consent to proceed with the hearing before the undersigned United States magistrate judge. The defendant's written consent was filed and made a part of the record.

At the beginning of the hearing, counsel for the defendant had informed the court that his client's proposed pleas were being made pursuant to a written plea agreement. *See* Rule 11(c)(2). During the hearing, counsel for the government set forth the government's understanding of the plea agreement in some detail: including the agreement for the defendant to plead guilty to the offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment [¶ A.1.]; the defendant's express acknowledgment of the full range of punishment for the offense charged in

Count One of the Indictment (including both the maximum and the enhanced mandatory minimum sentence) and the range of punishment for the offense charged in Count Two of the Indictment [¶ A.1.]; the defendant's express understanding that he may be required to pay restitution and that his assets may be subject to forfeiture [¶¶ A.1. and B.5.a.]; the defendant's express admission of his factual guilt to the two charged offenses [¶A.1.]; the defendant's obligation to pay a \$100.00 special assessment per felony count [¶¶A.1. and B.5.a.]; the defendant's acknowledgment of the trial rights waived by entry of a voluntary plea of guilty [¶A.2.]; the agreement's provision outlining the fact that sentencing is within the sole discretion of the court "subject to its consideration" of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) [¶ B.1.]; the defendant's express recognition that he would not be allowed to withdraw his guilty pleas irrespective of the sentence imposed by the court [¶ B.1.]; the defendant's express acknowledgment and stipulation that the government had filed an Information pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 851 subjecting him to an increased penalty for a qualifying felony conviction [¶ B.2.]; the terms of the government's agreement not to seek any further enhanced penalty [¶ B.2.]; the agreement's provision providing that to the extent that it was not inconsistent with the terms of the plea agreement each remains free to argue which guideline sections should or should not apply [¶ B.3.]; the terms of the agreement's acceptance of responsibility provision [¶ B.3.]; the agreement's substantial assistance provision [¶ B.4.]; the defendant's monetary obligations, including the terms of his obligation to pay a mandatory assessment of \$100.00 per felony count of conviction [¶ B.5.a.]; the agreement's provision concerning the defendant's financial disclosure obligation [¶ B.5.b.]; the agreed forfeiture provision [¶ C.]; the defendant's comprehensive waiver of appeal rights [¶ D.1.]; the defendant's express waiver of his right to make any collateral attack on any judgment or sentence imposed by the court [¶ D.2.]; the defendant's waiver of all rights to access of investigation or prosecution

records or information [¶ D.3.]; the parties express acknowledgment that the written plea agreement constituted a binding contractual understanding between the parties [¶ E.2.]; and the substance of the agreement's other terms and provisions. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(B)–(N) and 11(c)(1)–(3).

After which, the defendant was asked whether his understanding of the terms of the agreement was the same, and he testified that his understanding was precisely the same as that set forth by the government's attorney. Counsel for the defendant, likewise, represented that his understanding was the same, and he further represented that he had reviewed each of the terms of the plea agreement with the defendant, and he was satisfied that the defendant understood all of its terms.

The defendant was then shown the plea agreement, and he affirmed it to be his signature on the document. He further testified that no one had made any other, different or additional promise or assurance of any kind in an effort to induce him to enter pleas of guilty in this case and that no one had attempted in any way to force him to plead guilty in this case. The agreement was then received, filed and made a part of the record, and it was noted for the record that the written plea agreement constitutes the best evidence of its terms, and as such it "speaks for itself."

After counsel for the government outlined the enhanced statutory minimum and the maximum penalties for conviction of the offense charged in Count One, the defendant stated he understood that confinement in federal penitentiary for twenty (20) years to be the minimum mandatory penalty for the offense charged in Count One and that the maximum possible penalty

provided by law for conviction of the offense charged in Count One to be confinement for life, a \$8,000,000.00 fine, and a term of supervised release.<sup>1</sup> After counsel for the government outlined the maximum statutory penalty for the offense charged in Count Two, the defendant stated he understood the maximum statutory penalties for the offense charged in Count Two to be confinement in a federal penitentiary for a term of ten (10) years, a \$250,000.00 fine, and a term of supervised release. *See* Rule 11(b)(H)-(I). In addition, the defendant acknowledged that he understood that he would be required to pay a mandatory \$100.00 special assessment per felony conviction count. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(L).

The defendant then acknowledged that he knew his pleas, if accepted, would result in his being adjudged guilty of two separate felony offenses and that such adjudication may deprive him of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm.

The defendant was informed, and he expressly acknowledged, that the court's determination of his sentence would include consideration of multiple factors, including: the nature and circumstances of the offense; the defendant's history and characteristics; the seriousness of the offense; the need to promote respect for the law; the need to provide for just punishment and afford adequate deterrence; the need to protect the public; any determined need to provide the defendant with educational or vocational training, medical care or other correctional treatment in the most efficient manner; the kinds of available sentences; the pertinent sentencing guidelines and policy statements; the need to avoid unwanted sentence

---

<sup>1</sup> The defendant was informed, and he expressly acknowledged, that he could be sentenced to less than twenty (20) years imprisonment only if the government makes a "substantial assistance" motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) on his behalf.

disparities; and any need to provide for restitution. He also acknowledged that he understood the court may order him to make full restitution to any victim and would require him to forfeit certain property to the government. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(J)–(K).

The defendant testified that he and his attorney had talked about how the Sentencing Commission Guidelines might apply to his case and the court’s obligation to calculate the applicable sentencing-guideline range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Guidelines and other factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(M). He stated that he understood that the court will not be able to determine the recommended guideline sentence for his case until after the pre-sentence report had been completed and he and the government each had an opportunity to challenge the facts reported by the probation officer.

The defendant then acknowledged that he knew the entry of a guilty plea constituted an admission of all of the elements of a formal felony charge, and he knew that irrespective of any sentence imposed by the court he would have no right to withdraw his guilty pleas. *See* Rule 11(c)(3)(B). He acknowledged that he knew parole had been abolished and that he would not be released on parole. He further acknowledged that he knew and understood any sentence of incarceration imposed by the court would also include a period of “supervised release,” and he knew any violation of the terms or conditions of such supervised release could result in his being returned to prison for an additional period of time. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(H).

Pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement [¶ D.1.], the defendant expressly acknowledged that he understood that he was waiving all rights to appeal his conviction or any sentence which did not exceed the maximum penalty permitted by law. Likewise, pursuant to

the terms of the plea agreement [¶ D.2.], he expressly acknowledged that he understood he was waiving all rights to challenge his conviction or his sentence in any post-conviction proceeding.

Each of his procedural rights surrendered on a plea of guilty was also explained: including, his right to plead not guilty to any offense charged against him and his right to persist in any such not guilty plea; his attendant right to a trial by an impartial jury; his right to counsel to assist in his defense; his presumption of innocence, the obligation of the government to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, his right at trial to see, to hear, to confront, and to have cross-examined all witnesses presented against him; his right to decline to testify unless he voluntarily elected to do so in his own defense, his right to remain silent; his right to the issuance of subpoenas or compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify in his defense, and his right to a unanimous guilty verdict. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(B)– (E). The defendant testified that he understood his right to plead not guilty and the attendant trial rights that he would waive by pleading guilty. *See* Rule 11(b)(1)(F).

In direct response to further questioning, the defendant also testified that he was pleading guilty to the two offenses charged against him in Counts One and Two because he was in fact guilty of each of these offenses.

To permit the court to determine whether an independent basis in fact existed for the defendant's plea, the government submitted a written proffer which summarized the essential facts the government was prepared to prove at trial. The defendant and his counsel each represented that the statement had been fully reviewed and that it fairly and accurately summarized the government's case. *See* Rule 11(b)(3).

After testifying that he had heard and understood all parts of the proceeding and after consulting with his attorney, the defendant waived a reading of the indictment. He was then called-upon for his pleas, and he separately entered a plea of GUILTY to Count One alleging his violation of Title 21 United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A) and a plea of GUILTY to Count Two alleging his violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 922(g)(1). The clerk then read the written guilty plea form to the defendant; after acknowledging it to be correct, the defendant executed it, and it was filed and made a part of the record.

After entering his pleas of guilty and after an independent basis for each plea was established, the defendant was again addressed personally. He reconfirmed that his decision to plead guilty was fully voluntary and that it did not result from any force, threats, promises of leniency or other inducement of any kind (other than that expressly set forth in the plea agreement). *See* Rule 11(b)(2). The defendant also reconfirmed his complete satisfaction with the services and assistance of his attorney.

The defendant was then informed that acceptance of his guilty pleas would be recommended to the presiding district judge, that a pre-sentence report would be prepared, that he would be asked to give information for that report, that his attorney may be present if he wished, and that he and his attorney would have the right to read the pre-sentence report and to file objections to it. The defendant was then remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal pending preparation of a pre-sentence report, acceptance of his guilty pleas, and sentencing.

## **GOVERNMENT'S EVIDENCE**

The government's written statement setting forth the factual basis for the offense is incorporated herein by reference.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the evidence, the representations of counsel, and the defendant's sworn testimony presented as part of the hearing, the undersigned submits the following formal findings of fact, conclusions and recommendations:

1. The defendant is fully competent and capable of entering informed pleas to the charges set forth in Counts One and Two of the Indictment;
2. The defendant is fully aware both of the nature of the charge set forth in Count One of the Indictment and of the consequences of his guilty plea;
3. The defendant is fully aware both of the nature of the charge set forth in Count Two of the Indictment and of the consequences of his guilty plea;
4. The defendant is fully informed and he understands the applicable enumerated items set forth in Rule 11(b)(1)(A)-(N);
5. The defendant's pleas of guilty were made pursuant to a fully voluntary written plea agreement;
6. The defendant's entry into the plea agreement and his tender of pleas of guilty to Counts One and Two were made with the advice and assistance of counsel;
7. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered pleas of guilty to Counts One and Two of the Indictment;
8. The defendant's pleas of guilty did not result from force, threats, inducements or promises other than those promises contained in the written plea agreement;
9. The plea agreement complies with the requirements of Rule 11(c)(1); and
10. The evidence presents an independent basis in fact containing each essential element of the two offenses to which the defendant has entered pleas of guilty.

## RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION

Based on the above findings of fact, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the court accept the defendant's pleas of guilty to the offenses charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment, that he be adjudged GUILTY of both said offenses, and that a sentencing hearing be set by the set for June 21, 2011 at 11:00 a.m. before the presiding district judge.

## NOTICE TO PARTIES

Notice is hereby given to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c): Within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this Report and Recommendation, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by the rules of court. The presiding district judge shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendations to which an objection is made. The presiding district judge may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the undersigned. The presiding district judge may also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the undersigned with instructions. **A failure to file timely written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen (14) days could waive appellate review.**

The clerk is further directed to transmit a copy of this Report and Recommendation to all counsel of record, and at the conclusion of the fourteen-day period the clerk is directed to transmit the record in this matter to the presiding United States district judge.

DATED: this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March 2011.

s/ James G. Welsh  
United States Magistrate Judge